Because I do not see the analysis center having the resources nor the

authority to take part in investigations for some time, I see it rather

as a resource center for those doing the investigations and a place where

methodology for more efficient investigations can be developed. I believe

the three ~~kxxx~~ following items are examples of what should be done

at the beginning, because they would provide quick assistance. They

are in order of ~~speed~~ *speed* of accomplishment *as I see it* :

1. To establish a current, readily searchable repository of unauthorized

disclosures (these can be in abstract or index form) to provide *information* to the DCI

or other official requesters ~~when these individuals request data~~ by topic,

source, publisher, time frame etc.

2. To provide a test bed for analytical techniques which may assist leak

investigations. The timing of the publication *relative to current events,* the lag between the source

document and the publication and (unfortunately only occasionally) the

analysis of quoted phrases which might point to a draft version of a source

STAT document are examples which showed up in [   ] study.

3. As a further aid to Community investigations, the text of ~~leask~~ leaks,

either in detailed abstract or eventually in total form, *s* ~~w~~hould be stored

for use in content analysis. This mission of the Analysis Center is based

STAT on [   ] frustrated comment about the difficulty of sorting out

the new elements of a ~~sskyx~~ story based on leaks when the authors are

using word processing and their own data bases. The authors can flesh out

a story based on a single bit of new information by searching out older

material which may in part also be based on ~~kxx~~ leaks. The investigator

therefore may waste time tracking old material when his limited efforts should

be concentrated on ascertaining the damage caused by new elements previously

uninvestigated. Because this goal would require considerable preparation time

to simply convert the data (articles or abstracts) into machine readable form, the threee following methods are suggested:

1. Use summer students or other similar assets working on standalone unclassified word processors. They would be given formats to follow but no association with the classified use or even the relevance, or truth, of the articles whx which they were asked to enter.

2. Establish not only common data elements but also equipment compatibility throughout the Community so that each agency could prepare it own relevant *leak* articles on campatible storage media for entry into the Center's common data base.

3. Make as much use of the Nexis, Lexis and other news service data bases as possible as a waxx ready source of machine readable input to the data base. There would of course be human intervention to select axax only articles appropriate for the data base.